1		HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
8		1
9	FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION,	CASE NO. C13-5857 RBL
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO REMAND
11	v.	
12	LUIS EWING,	
13	Defendant.	
14	Federal National Mortgage Association ("1	Fannie Mae") commenced an action against
15		
16	Luis Ewing for unlawful detainer in state court on	April 11, 2013, pursuant to Wash. R. Civ. P.
17	3(a) by serving Ewing with a summons and a copy of the Complaint. Fannie May filed the	
18	summons and Complaint with the state court on May 1, 2013. After filing a number of motions	
10	summons and complaint with the state court on w	Iay 1, 2013. After filing a number of motions
10	and pleadings in state court, Ewing filed a notice of	
19	-	
20	and pleadings in state court, Ewing filed a notice of pauperis in this Court on October 7, 2013.	
20 21	and pleadings in state court, Ewing filed a notice of pauperis in this Court on October 7, 2013.	of removal and Motion to Proceed in forma gainst removal jurisdiction. <i>Gaus v. Miles, Inc.</i> ,
20 21 22	and pleadings in state court, Ewing filed a notice of pauperis in this Court on October 7, 2013. The removal statute is strictly construed ag	of removal and Motion to Proceed in forma gainst removal jurisdiction. <i>Gaus v. Miles, Inc.</i> , st reject removal jurisdiction is there is any
20 21	and pleadings in state court, Ewing filed a notice of pauperis in this Court on October 7, 2013. The removal statute is strictly construed ag 980 F.2d 564, 566 (9th Cir. 1992). The Court must	of removal and Motion to Proceed in forma gainst removal jurisdiction. <i>Gaus v. Miles, Inc.</i> , st reject removal jurisdiction is there is any resumption against removal places the burden

1	The removal statute gives a party 30 days after receiving the initial pleading or summons	
2	to remove the action to federal court. 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b). Ewing was first served with the	
3	Complaint and summons on April 11, 2013. He filed a petition for removal with the state court	
4	on September 30, 2013, and he filed his notice of removal with this Court on October 7, 2013.	
5	Both Ewing's state court petition and notice of removal in this Court were filed well after the 30-	
6	day deadline. Strictly construing the removal statute, the Court concludes that removal is	
7	improper. It is therefore ORDERED that this matter is REMANDED to the Clark County	
8	Superior Court. The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to the Clerk of the	
9	Pierce County Superior Court, and to all counsel of record. Ewing's motion to proceed in forma	
10	pauperis is DENIED as moot.	
11	Dated this 10 th day of October, 2013.	
12		
13	RONALD B. LEIGHTON	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		